# **Comcast Technical Engagement with the P2P Community**

P2P Media Summit – LA

Richard Woundy SVP, Software & Applications May 5, 2008



# **Technical Engagements with the P2P Community**

- Comcast network modifications
  - Migrating to a capacity management technique that is protocol agnostic by year end
  - Deploying DOCSIS 3.0 and adding upstream capacity as needed
- Engagement with BitTorrent
  - Collaboration to optimize P2P content delivery over broadband networks
  - Working with the industry on optimal client-side and network management techniques
- Engagement with Pando
  - Participation in Pando trial of P4P control plane
- Engagement with the P4P Working Group and DCIA
  - Participation as a P4PWG core participant
  - Support for the DCIA Best Practices initiative
- Engagement with the IETF
  - Participation in the IETF Workshop hosted by MIT on May 28



# **Recent DOCSIS Specifications and Updates**

- DOCSIS 3.0 "Bronze" qualification phase
  - Downstream channel bonding (DCB)
  - Basic IPv6 support (IPv6 for cable modem management)
- DOCSIS 3.0 "Silver" qualification phase
  - Upstream channel bonding (UCB)
  - Multicast improvements (IGMPv3, MLDv2)
  - IPDR enhancements (streaming, additional data)
  - AES encryption, S-CDMA support, ...
- DOCSIS 3.0 "Full" qualification phase
- Comcast update
  - April 3: Initial DOCSIS 3.0 CMTS production deployment in Minneapolis including 50/5 tier



# **P4P Control Plane Benefits**

Enables backbone bandwidth optimization

- Reduction in ISP "hop count"
- Increased traffic localization within ISP backbone
- Increased traffic localization within ISP metro regions
- Improves P2P download performance
  - Improvement in data delivery speed
  - Increased file transfer rates for P2P customers



## **Current Technical Limitations of P4P**

- Focuses on backbone bandwidth optimization
- Assumes a simple split of customers
  - e.g. DSL/FTTH split by subnet or AS
- Currently considers only P2P bandwidth usage
- Currently assumes cooperative iTrackers
  - How to avoid 'hot potato routing' by independent iTrackers?
- Focuses on control plane, not data plane



#### **Potential P4P Technical Improvements**

- Enable P2P tracker awareness of per-user bandwidth variation
  - Bandwidth tiers and network management
  - Should local congestion or outages be reported?
- Enable P2P cache discovery
- Enable multiple cooperative iTrackers
  - Potentially through a clearinghouse
- Extend P4P to data plane
  - Leverage multiple network traffic priorities
  - Extend consumer priority control and visibility through P2P client

